

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/589,356	08/11/2006	Toru Iwane	129046	8180	
25944 7590 02/02/2009 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			VARGOT, MATHIEU D		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1791		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/02/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/589 356 IWANE, TORU Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Mathieu D. Vargot 1791 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 October 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-10 and 13-15 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7,11,12 and 16-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/11 & 11/6/06.

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/589,356

Art Unit: 1791

1.Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-7, 11, 12 and 16-19 in the reply filed on October 14, 2008 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the inventions are not properly restricted since a search for one group would necessarily involve a search for the other group. This is not found persuasive because of the different classifications and different required searches for the two groups. Indeed, it is maintained that the required searches alone would provide a serious burden on the office.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2.The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 11, 12 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Japanese Patent 5-181,403 as disclosed in the background art of Hiji et al (see column 2 therein).

Japanese -403, as disclosed in the background art section of Hiji et al, teaches the instant method wherein a liquid crystal material is placed between two transparent plates with electrodes attached thereto and the liquid crystal is polymerized by first

Application/Control Number: 10/589,356 Page 3

Art Unit: 1791

applying coherent laser light from a UV source to both sides of the plates followed by application of a UV light to complete polymerization. An external electric or magnetic field is taught as being applied during either or both irradiation steps. See column 2, lines 3-21 of Hiji et al. It is submitted that this disclosure is sufficient to anticipate the independent claims 1, 2, 16 and 18. If these claims are not anticipated, then any differences between instant claims 1, 2, 16 and 18 and the disclosure of Japanese -403 would have been obvious because they are simply not discernable at this point. The limitations of instant claims 3 and 5 are submitted to be inherent in the operation of the applied reference and instant claim 4 is depicted in Figure 1 therein. If Japanese -403 does not teach separating the polymerized layers from the substrates, such is submitted to have been obvious dependent on the exact optical structure desired. Concerning instant claims 11 and 12, it would appear from Figure 1 of the applied reference that the angles of incidence of the laser light can be different and hence these would clearly be adjustable. If not anticipated, then it would have been obvious to have made the angles adjustable to facilitate obtaining different orientations as desired. The limitations of instant claims 17 and 19, if not inherent in Japanese -403, would have been quite obvious modifications thereto. It is well known to provide orientation films on substrates to allow for an initial degree of orientation for the liquid crystal material and such would have been obvious in Japanese -403 for this reason.

3.Applicant is requested to provide a translation or English language equivalent for Japanese Patent 5-181.403 if such is readily available. Art Unit: 1791

4.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mathieu D. Vargot whose telephone number is 571 272-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson, can be reached on 571 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Vargot January 28, 2009 /Mathieu D. Vargot/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791